



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,423	10/03/2003	Gregg D. Wilensky	07844-626001	1581
21876 7590 06/19/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. Box 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			EXAMINER SMITH, JEFFREY S	
			ART UNIT 2624	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/19/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10678423	10/3/2003	WILENSKY, GREGG D.	07844-626001

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
P.O. Box 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

Jeffrey S.. Smith

ART UNIT	PAPER
2624	20070611

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

See attached notice of nonresponsive amendment.

Response to Amendment

The reply filed on April 13, 2007 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because the objections to the drawings have been intentionally ignored.

The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims or the claims must be canceled in order for applicant to respond to the Office Action. 37 CFR 1.83(a) states that the drawing in a nonprovisional application must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims.

This means that the drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Instead of doing this, applicant asserts that the rule does not apply to him because he does not believe that detailed illustration of the dependent claims is required for proper understanding of the invention.

Therefore, because applicant is admitting on the record that the claimed features which are currently not shown are in fact conventional prior art features that are not required for proper understanding of the invention, the specification and drawings should reflect the fact that applicant believes the dependent claims are not inventive elements but are merely conventional features that are not required for proper understanding of the invention. Still the conventional features of the dependent claims that are not inventive must be shown in the drawings or canceled from the claims.

Not only do the drawings fail to show the features of the dependent claims, the drawings fail to show features of the independent claims that are required for proper understanding of the invention. The current drawings fail to show an image with a local attribute, the current drawings fail to show the values of the local attribute, the current

Art Unit: 2624

drawings fail to show an image adjustment, the current drawings fail to show an object function value, and the current drawings fail to show an image that is adjusted with the adjustment parameter calculated from the object function values. The current drawings merely have the words of claim 1 inside of boxes, and an example of attribute mapping.

Since the period for reply set forth in the prior Office action has expired, this application will become abandoned unless applicant corrects the deficiency and obtains an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. In no case may an applicant reply outside the SIX (6) MONTH statutory period or obtain an extension for more than FIVE (5) MONTHS beyond the date for reply set forth in an Office action. A fully responsive reply must be timely filed to avoid abandonment of this application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey S. Smith whose telephone number is 571 270-1235. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on 571 272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JSS

June 11, 2007


JINGGE WU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER